## Members:

This table shows the relationship between the Call criteria and the scoring criteria in the scoring worksheet used by LSOHC members. The last column displays the location in the request form where the applicant will be expected to address the criteria. All criteria in the call have a total of six possible points. A request with a perfect score will have 72 points. Ten criteria are scored 0 to 6. Two criteria are evaluated on a yes – no basis. In one case the yes answer adds six points and a no adds zero points. For the other question using yes – no as the response the listed, compound criteria is divided into four logical subparts. Each subpart is scored yes or no. A yes response awards the request 1.5 points and a no adds zero. If a request is scored yes on all four logical subparts the request receives 6 points. The criteria may be re-ordered to parallel the online system once the IT re-coding is complete.

## Staff

#	CURRENT CALL CRITERIA	Phrase on Score Sheet	RESPONSE SCALE and POINTS POSSIBLE	Where do I find the detail to evaluate the Call Criteria in the Application?
1	Does the proposal address actions and targets of one or more of the ecological sections?	The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target.	Completely Disagree  Completely Disagree  Neither or neutral  Completely agree	Information is in the Ecological Planning Regions data found on page 1 Applicants will be asked to select three Council priority actions from among the target regions they have identified and rank them in priority order.
2	If applicable, does the proposal restore or enhance habitat on permanently protected land, including tribal lands under federal trust arrangements?	The proposed restoration and enhancement is on permanently protected land – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust.	Completely Disagree  Neither or neutral  Completely agree	Information provided under <i>Permanent Protection</i> . Questions about protection status are specific to the activity selected.

#	CURRENT CALL CRITERIA	Phrase on Score Sheet	RESPONSE SCALE and POINTS POSSIBLE	Where do I find the detail to evaluate the Call Criteria in the Application?
3	Does the proposal meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056 Subdivision 13?	The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	0 – No, applicant will not comply 6 – Yes, applicant agrees to comply	Information provided under Applicable Criteria. This question is asked by all applicants as a "yes/no" affirmative question. A pop-up window showing Subdivision 13 is provided.
4	Does the proposal clearly describe whether it leverages effort and/or other non-state funds to supplement any OHF appropriation? For example, leverage may include cash, inkind contributions such as proposal evaluations or planning, or personnel. Local outreach, education, and community engagement may also be considered advantageous.	The proposal identifies leverage, non-state funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	Completely Disagree  Completely Disagree  Neither or neutral  Completely agree	This information is provided in the budget spreadsheet as well as a table inserted under <i>Relationship to Other Funds</i> that calculates the percentage of the leverage to the request amount.
5	Is the proposal likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation benefits and/or habitat outcomes?	The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	Completely Disagree  Neither or neutral  Completely agree	This information is provided under Outcomes. Applicants determine their top 3 outcomes based on Section Priorities. A 50 word text box is provided to explain measurable goals, import of achieving goals and impact of successful completion of the work. There are explicit instructions to address these items.

6	Does the proposal use a science-based strategic planning and evaluation model?	The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	O Completely Disagree	S Neither or neutral	completely agree	This information is provided under <i>Planning</i> . A 50 word dropdown box is provided to explain the planning and evaluation model used to support the scope of work. There are explicit instructions to address the planning and evaluation model.
7	Does the proposal address Minnesota habitats that have historical value to fish and wildlife;	The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	0	No Yes		This information is provided in the MN Habitats section where a 200 word text box is provided to explain the various parts to the question. There are explicit instructions to address these four attributes.
	Does the proposal address wildlife species of greatest conservation need;	The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	0	No Yes		See above
	Does the proposal address Minnesota County Biological Survey data; and/or	The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	0	No Yes		See above
	Does the proposal address rare, threatened and endangered species inventories?	The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	0	No Yes		See above

8	Is the proposal's budget within the norms of this kind of work or otherwise adequate to accomplish all goals and objectives described?	The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Completely Disagree  Neither or neutral  Completely agree	This information is found under <i>Budget</i> in the total request number and the way the applicant has distributed the money to budget line items. Members are asked to use their experience and sense to assess whether or not the right amount of money has been requested and the money is in the right place. Applicants have provided leverage by line item and members should consider the leverage resource
9	Does the proposal clearly identify performance measures, and provide a specific plan for measuring, evaluating and publicly reporting these outcomes over time?	The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	Completely Disagree  Neither or neutral  Completely agree	concurrently.  This information is provided under Outcomes where applicants can select outcomes based on Section Priorities. There are explicit instructions to address how outcomes will be measured.
10	Does the proposal fully describe and quantify in sufficient detail how the requested funding supplements - and does not substitute - customary or usual funding sources?	The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding	Completely Disagree  Neither or neutral  Completely agree	This information is provided under Accelerates of Supplements Current Efforts. A 200 word text box is provided. An additional chart has been added to the application:  Please complete the following table describing the source and amount of non OHF money spent for this work each year:  Fiscal Year Source Amount  2015
				2014

11	Does the proposal describe how the program's outcomes will be maintained?	It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	Completely Disagree		Neither or neutral		Completely agree	This information is provided under Sustainability and Maintenance. A 200 word text box is provided. An additional chart has been added to the application.  What maintenance steps will be taken in future years to maintain the outcomes of the OHF investment? Use the listed year as an approximation.
			0 1	2		4 5	6	Fiscal Year Steps 1 Step 2 2018 2020 2025
								2035
12	Does the proposal explain the nature of the urgency?	This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	Completely Disagree		Neither or neutral		Completely agree	This information is provided under <i>Nature</i> of <i>Urgency</i> . A 50 word text box is provided. There are explicit instructions to address the urgent need for funding.
			0 1	2	3 4	4 5	6	